Acute Stress Cardiomyopathy and Reversible Left Ventricular Dysfunction

By GERARD P. AURIGEMMA, MD

Over the past three decades, in addition to permitting insight into common diseases (eg, valvular or ischemic heart disease), echocardiography has greatly improved the understanding of systolic and diastolic function. It has also allowed clinicians to recognize seemingly new diseases, such as diastolic dysfunction and heart failure, asymptomatic severe aortic stenosis, mitral valve prolapse, and many of the cardiomyopathies, including isolated noncompaction of the left ventricle. Few, if any, of these disorders were commonly diagnosed before the widespread availability of echocardiography, nor did clinicians have the ability to follow the natural history or the response to treatment of these disorders. This issue of Cardiology Rounds reviews the insights from serial echocardiograms of a peculiar and increasingly recognized entity, stress cardiomyopathy.

**Diagnosis of reversible LV dysfunction: importance of echocardiography**

The noninvasive assessment of left ventricular (LV) systolic function that is possible with echocardiography provides a novel insight into conditions that cause reversible LV dysfunction. Table 1 lists conditions in which the ejection fraction can improve dramatically. Several of these disorders involve excessive afterload. Since the ejection fraction is inversely related to the level of afterload—which, by the Laplace relationship, is directly related to the product of systolic pressure and heart size—aortic stenosis, hypertension, aortic regurgitation, and even chronic-mitral regurgitation are all conditions where excess afterload can result in reduced fractional shortening. Accordingly, in these conditions, a reduction in afterload can be associated with a dramatic improvement in ejection fraction.

**Clinical features of acute, stress cardiomyopathy**

Stress cardiomyopathy is a more recently described form of reversible LV systolic dysfunction, and the most common form of stress cardiomyopathy is transient apical ballooning. Since 1990, there have been dozens of reports of this cardiomyopathy, with most, but not all, published in the Japanese literature. Synonyms for the syndrome of transient apical ballooning are found in Table 2. The Japanese have named this syndrome “takotsubo” because the shape of the ballooned apex in systole is similar to the shape of an octopus trap (Figure 1). However, in acute stress-related cardiomyopathy, LV dysfunction is not always confined to the apex. Variations of this syndrome include wall motion abnormalities involving the basal, midportion, and lateral walls of the left ventricle. This is the reason why the term “acute, stress cardiomyopathy” or, more simply, “stress cardiomyopathy” may be preferable to transient apical ballooning.

As recently reviewed, the clinical background associated with the transient ballooning form of acute stress cardiomyopathy is remarkably similar in most cases. Salient features of this condition are indicated in Table 3. Typically, the patient is a woman in her 7th decade, evaluated for the sudden onset of chest symptoms, such as dyspnea or chest pain (Figures 2 and 3). In most instances, these symptoms occur close in time to an identifiable “trigger.” The triggering event is commonly, but not exclusively, an emotionally traumatic one, but may also be an acute medical illness (eg, a severe asthmatic attack requiring intubation). In some cases, the trigger is an unusually strenuous physical activity or a public performance. The majority of patients exhibit chest symptoms (not necessarily chest pain) and electrocardiogram (ECG) changes consistent with acute myocardial ischemia. Experience with patients with stress cardiomyopathy suggests some features may differentiate it from LV dysfunction related to an acute LAD territory MI.
Stress cardiomyopathy patients:
- usually do not develop Q-waves
- have lower levels of biomarker release, especially total creatine kinase (CPK), usually not exceeding 400 IU
- have wall motion abnormalities that appear to span a larger perfusion territory than one coronary artery (Figure 4).
- do not demonstrate delayed hyperenhancement by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with gadolinium (Figure 5)
- have wall motion abnormalities that improve on follow-up, some as early as 2 days following presentation (Figure 6)
- have extensive wall motion abnormalities and even severe hemodynamic compromise, but relatively low mortality, (in the range of 0%-8%).

Stress cardiomyopathy is a clinical diagnosis. To date, most of the reports on this syndrome have described the transient apical ballooning variety. The closest approximation to diagnostic criteria are those used by Tsuchihashi, who has published the findings of 88 patients with transient apical ballooning enrolled in a multicenter registry of acute MI, by far the largest reported series. Tsuchihashi designated the following criteria for the diagnosis of transient apical ballooning: acute chest symptoms; characteristic ballooning of the LV apex on ventriculography; deeply inverted T waves on the ECG; documented reversibility of the wall motion abnormality; no obstructive coronary disease by arteriography. Bybee et al have proposed the Mayo Clinic diagnostic criteria, shown in Table 4. These criteria, like those of Tsushihashi, require reversible dysfunction of the apex and midventricle.

Although it was initially thought that this syndrome occurred only in Japan, it is now appreciated that there is a more global distribution. Until recently, however, no western group had assembled a series of more than 2-3 individuals, nor was there any understanding of prevalence. Pilliere et al were the first to estimate prevalence for the apical form of acute stress-related cardiomyopathy. These authors suggest that 0.7% of patients referred for coronary arteriography due to an acute coronary syndrome (ACS) have transient apical ballooning. The more recent of Sharkey’s 2 studies represents the largest non-Japanese series. In this report, patients were prospectively identified in a community-based cardiology practice over approximately three years. Acute MI or an ACS was suspected in each case on the basis of a clinical presentation of typical chest pain and ECG changes. Each patient sought medical attention following the onset of symptoms after a stressful incident. Hemodynamic compromise requiring either vasopressor support or intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation was common and occurred in more than one-third of the subjects. However, LV systolic dysfunction and the associated apical regional wall motion abnormalities resolved rapidly, some in 2 to 3 days, and all by one month. In reports from the US, the salient features described by Japanese investigators were confirmed: over 90% were women; the presentation resembled that of an acute MI; there was a uniform lack of critical coronary artery stenosis; emotional stress usually preceded presentation; and resolution of the wall motion abnormalities was rapid. In both the Sharkey and the Wittstein studies, careful regional function analysis confirmed that, in the majority of patients, wall motion abnormalities extended beyond any single coronary vascular territory (Figure 4).

### Key differential features

The salient features of acute MI and acute stress cardiomyopathy are compared and contrasted in Table 5. At this point there are no clear prospective identifying factors, either clinically, electrocardiographically, or by echocardiography. The apical portion of the left ventricle may show wall motion abnormality in both disorders; Bybee et al have demonstrated that approximately 30% of their patients with LV apical ballooning also have right ventricular apical involvement. In the past, circulating catecholamine levels in patients presenting with the syndrome have shown inconsistent results, but more recent data from Wittstein demonstrates significant elevation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Nomenclature used for acute, reversible cardiomyopathy involving the apex of the LV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Takotsubo cardiomyopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical ballooning syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress cardiomyopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampulla cardiomyopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Broken heart” syndrome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Causes of reversible LV dysfunction encountered in clinical practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afterload excess conditions: aortic stenosis; aortic regurgitation; severe hypertension; mitral regurgitation with LV systolic dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxic exposure: alcoholic cardiomyopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocarditis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic heart disease: transient myocardial stunning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pheochromocytoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress cardiomyopathy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Figure 1: Representative ventriculogram taken from the study of a patient with transient apical ballooning. The shape of the LV in systole is thought to resemble the shape of the octopus trap, here oriented to simulate a right anterior oblique projection. (Ventriculogram image taken from Tsuchihashi et al, used with permission.) |

| Figure 2: Representative electrocardiograms during (a) and 3 days after presentation (b) with transient apical ballooning. Note that there are nonspecific ST-T wave changes in electrocardiogram a which evolve into diffuse, symmetrically inverted T waves on the succeeding tracing. |
of all levels measured, greater by far than in the control group of patients with acute MI. However, hyperenhancement by contrast MRI (Figure 5) has not been observed and it does not appear that MR scanning is a practical screening method. Furthermore, as reiterated in this review, at present, the diagnosis of acute stress cardiomyopathy should be one of exclusion.

Pathophysiologic mechanisms

There has been much speculation concerning the mechanism of stress cardiomyopathy. Likely mechanisms can be divided into three general categories: coronary vasospasm; ventricular outflow tract obstruction with distal (ie, apical) systolic dysfunction; and catecholamine injury. While myocarditis would seem to be part of the differential diagnosis, there is little convincing evidence for this etiology and it is not discussed further.

Epicardial coronary vasospasm

Multivessel coronary vasospasm has been invoked as a mechanism to explain an MI associated with nonobstructive coronary disease. While diffuse multivessel vasospasm was observed in up to 15% of reported Japanese patients with transient apical ballooning, multivessel epicardial vasospasm has rarely been observed in western reports of the syndrome. Bybee et al reported that while “spontaneous or provokable multivessel epicardial spasm was present in a few patients; the incidence of provokable multivessel epicardial spasm ranged from 0% to 43% in different series.” We have not observed epicardial vasospasm either in our initial series or in any of the subsequent patients (now totaling >40 patients) at our institution, despite the fact that most of our patients have received urgent

Figure 3: Top panel: Representative 2-dimensional echocardiogram in a 59-year-old woman with transient apical ballooning. This patient developed chest discomfort and dyspnea following an emotionally stressful event. She underwent coronary arteriography and was found to have nonobstructive coronary disease. Panels A and B are from the study performed shortly after presentation and Panels C and D are from the study performed one month later. Panels A and B are diastolic and systolic frames, respectively. Note that in Panel B, there is lack of contraction of the LV apex (arrow). By contrast, the follow-up echocardiogram obtained one month after presentation is seen in the lower panels. Panel C demonstrates end diastolic and Panel D end-systolic frames. As in Panel B, the arrow in Panel D indicates the endocardial surface. Note the improvement in systolic function and reduction in systolic chamber size, when comparing Panel B with Panel D.

Figure 4: Wall motion analysis in patients with transient apical ballooning. Note that in patients with apical ballooning, there is hypokinesis and akinesia in the midportion of segments; this does not follow a single coronary artery distribution. (Wittstein et al,13 used with permission.)
coronary arteriography. Ibanez proposed that transient apical ballooning is caused by spasm of a “wraparound” LAD artery, but we have not found this to be the case in our experience at the University of Massachusetts.

**Endothelial dysfunction**

There are data to support a role for endothelial dysfunction in the apical form of acute stress cardiomyopathy. Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) frame counts, a measure of the time required by intracoronary contrast to reach distal landmarks, has been employed in the study of these patients by Kurisu et al and Bybee et al. Prolonged TIMI frame counts in all 3 major epicardial coronary arteries during the acute phase of the syndrome are suggestive of endothelial dysfunction. Kurisu and co-workers performed elegant clinical studies involving dual isotopes and provided evidence for endothelial dysfunction in these patients. They found severe abnormalities of fatty acid metabolism despite an improvement in myocardial perfusion and wall motion. Although microvascular ischemia is appealing mechanistically, it has not yet been demonstrated in the limited number of physiological studies in this population that have used Doppler flow-wire measurements or contrast echocardiographic techniques. However, it is possible that, while microvascular dysfunction may not be the sole mechanism of myocardial damage in transient apical ballooning, it may contribute to the LV dysfunction (see scheme suggested by Ako in Figure 7).

**Catecholamine-induced LV dysfunction**

We believe that the available evidence best supports the catecholamine-injury hypothesis as the partial, if not the sole mechanism, for acute stress cardiomyopathy. Catecholamine-stress injury is likely to be present in a number of disorders whose common phenotypic expression is regional wall motion abnormality. These include subarachnoid hemorrhage, the endocrine crisis of pheochromocytoma, and acute respiratory crises, including asthma. A catecholamine-injury hypothesis, as opposed to a coronary spasm hypothesis, would also support the appearance of nonapical regional wall motion abnormalities that do not follow a single coronary distribution. It is also possible, as has been speculated by Ako, that catecholamine-excess may also promote endothelial dysfunction, which may contribute to the wall motion abnormality (Figure 7).

The data of Wittstein, demonstrating significant elevations in all catecholamine levels tested, even compared with individuals suffering acute MI with hemodynamic compromise (Killip class 3 MI), strongly supports the catecholamine-injury hypothesis. In my opinion, the stress cardiomyopathy syndrome results from catecholamine-

---

**Table 4: Proposed Mayo criteria for the clinical diagnosis of the transient left ventricular apical ballooning syndrome**

- Transient akinesis or dyskinesis of the left ventricular apical and mid-ventricular segments with regional wall-motion abnormalities extending beyond a single epicardial vascular distribution
- Absence of obstructive coronary disease or angiographic evidence of acute plaque rupture
- New electrocardiographic abnormalities (either ST-segment elevation or T-wave inversion)
- Absence of:
  - Recent significant head trauma
  - Intracranial bleeding
  - Pheochromocytoma
  - Obstructive epicardial coronary artery disease
  - Myocarditis
  - Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

---

**Table 5: Suggested distinguishing features between transient apical ballooning and LAD territory MI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Transient apical ballooning</th>
<th>LAD territory MI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST elevations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPK</td>
<td>150-400</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troponin-1</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall motion abnormality</td>
<td>&gt; 1 territory</td>
<td>LAD territory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV apical involvement</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary arteries</td>
<td>Normal or nonobstructive disease</td>
<td>Coronary occlusion usually present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akinesis</td>
<td>Resolves</td>
<td>Does not resolve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LAD = left anterior descending coronary artery
associated stunning of the myocardium that is provoked by emotional or physiologic stress. The observations by Pollick et al. and Kono et al were major conceptual breakthroughs because they demonstrated that reversible apical dysfunction existed in patients recovering from subarachnoid hemorrhage. Prior to this study, it was thought that such “cerebral T waves” were not necessarily reflective of myocardial injury.

The transient wall motion abnormalities in acute stress-related cardiomyopathy, generally, although not exclusively, involve the ventricular apex. However, the explanation for this finding has not been established. According to Owa et al. and Akashi et al., there are definable abnormalities of cardiac sympathetic innervation and sympathetic hyperactivity in the LV apex. Mori et al speculated that there is increased adrenergic responsiveness or increased β-receptor density at the apex that compensates for relatively sparse sympathetic innervation in this region. There is some support for this mechanism from animal models where isoproterenol damage is predominantly endocardial and apical. Tran
tient apical and midventricular wall-motion abnormalities in rats were produced by immobilization, and these abnormalities were not noted when the rats were pre
treated with adrenergic blockade.

Important unresolved issues

Despite the flurry of recent reports from US and European investigators, and continued clinical research by Japanese investigators, a number of unanswered questions persist regarding transient apical ballooning.

Classification

There is variability in the site of LV dysfunction, both in the apical form of stress cardiomyopathy syndrome, described by Wittstein et al., as well as in patients suffering an acute cerebral hemorrhage. We, as well as others, have observed transient dysfunction involving the basal portion of the left ventricle (“reverse takotsubo”) or the midportion of the left ventricle (Figure 8). These findings have been summarized recently by Shimizu and co-workers. For that reason, as stated earlier in this review, we believe that the term “stress cardiomyopathy” may prove to be preferable to “transient apical ballooning” or “Takotsubo syndrome,” since it encompasses the different phenotypic expressions.

Prospective identification

At this point, there are insufficient data available to enable the clinician to prospectively distinguish transient apical ballooning or other forms of stress cardiomyopa
ty from acute MI caused by occlusion of the left ante
descent artery. Until research permits prospective distinction, the diagnosis of stress cardiomyopathy should remain an exclusion. Certainly, a clinical history of an emotional or medical “trigger” in a middle-aged or older woman should alert the clinician to the possible diagnosis of stress cardiomyopathy. It is possible that further research with biomarkers, echocardiography, and prospective validation may eventually enable the clinician to make the diagnosis of stress cardiomyopathy without necessarily performing coronary arteriography.

Why women? Why now? Why is this important?

Why middle-aged/elderly women appear particularly susceptible to this disorder is not clear. Further, is this a
development of one “discovered” by serial echocardiography? The lack of any published description despite decades of left ventriculographic studies before the early 1990s, in my opinion, is explained by the fact that serial 2-dimensional echocardiography was not available until relatively recently (M-mode echocardiography does not visualize apical ballooning). Finally, what is the clinical relevance of this uncommon syndrome in day-to-day practice? Acute stress cardiomyopathy syndrome must now be considered by internists/cardiologists, intensivists, emergency department physicians, and anesthesiologists in the differential diagnosis of a patient who presents with a suspected acute coronary syndrome or ST-segment elevation MI, or who develops arrhythmia or T wave inversions during the pre
terative or intraoperative period. As noted above, this cardiomyopathy may account for a small percentage (eg, 1% -3%) of ACSs. However, a recent report, summarizing a prospective evaluation of consecutive patients admitted to a medical intensive care unit (ICU), demonstrates a remarkably high incidence of apical dysfunction; 26 of 92 patients had apical ballooning and this was often associated with sepsis. Stress cardiomyopathy should be considered, especially, when the extent of ischemic ECG abnormalities exceeds biomarker evidence for myocardial necrosis and coronary angiography confirms noncritical atherosclerotic disease. The most effective long-term management of this syndrome remains to be defined, although we favor chronic beta-blocker therapy (without clinical trial validation) in individuals who have had stress cardiomyopathy.
It is also hoped that further investigations using echocardiography in concert with biomarkers may help clinicians diagnose the syndrome prospectively with greater certainty. However, until stress cardiomyopathy can be conclusively distinguished from acute MI, using prospectively validated clinical criteria, we emphasize that it must first be assumed that acute coronary occlusion is responsible for the patient’s symptoms, until coronary angiography excludes this more common cause of acute regional LV dysfunction.
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